

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

**DATE MAILED:** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/18/97 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR JUNE 125

LM02/0217 EXAMINER NGUYEN, H

WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON MA 02210

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 02/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/932,784** 

Applicant(s)

MCKAIN et al

Examiner

**HUY NGUYEN** 

Group Art Unit 2712



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Nov 26, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
<ul> <li>□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire</li></ul>	
X Claim(s) 1-44	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-44</u>	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on	
Attachment(s)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li> <li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 2712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1- 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peters et al (5,946,445) in view of Borhman (5,109,482).

Regarding claims 1-2, 9 and 23, Peters discloses a digital motion picture recorder comprising:

a housing sized to be portable for use by an individual (Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 2712

processing means (Fig. 1) in the housing for processing the motion video signal;
a converting means for converting the motion video signal into a sequence of the still image (column 2);

storage means (5) for storing the sequence of still images on a computer readable and rewritable random access medium mounted in the housing (column 3).

Peters at fig 1 fails to discloses that the a motion camera mounted in the housing having the recorder. However, it is noted that combine two separate parts to become a integral part is obvious in view of a practitioner in the art ( See integral or separate part , In re Larson et al.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the digital recorder of Peters by proving a motion camera in the same housing of the digital recorder for portability 's purpose therefore providing more advantages on use on location or studio. Furthermore, it is noted that Peters and applicant also suggest the motion camera and the digital recorder can be organozed to be portability (See Peter column 3, lines 43-50, in the specification of the instant application page 4)

Peters fails to teach the use of an editing unit for editing by defining a sequence of the digital to be played bacl.

Borhrman teaches an editing unit which is used with a computer for editing the prestored video information, defining a sequence of the still video information to be reproduced.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Peters with

Borhman by using an ediing device as taught by Borman in the apparatsu of Peters for eding the

Art Unit: 2712

video information stored on the medium in order to provide convenience to the user in editing the digital still picture ..

Regarding claims 4, 10, 24, Peters as modified with Borhman further teaches a display and editing control to edit and display the sequence of the still picture (See Peters column 3, lines 30-40 and Borhman (Fig. 2, column 1, lines 15-25 column 2, lines 15-25)

Regarding claims 5,6,12, 13, 15, 26, 27, 40, Peter as modified with Borhman teaches that the medium is a random access medium and a disk type is detachable.

Regarding claim 7, Peters fails to specifically teaches that the portable housing is ruggedized. However, it is noted that ruggedizing a device is well known in the art and also it is required by customer. Therefore it is would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the housing of the recorder of Peters is ruggedized in order to provide the digital recorder with more endurance.

Regarding claims 11, 25, 38, Peter as modified with Borhman fails to teaches display function ans associated with input mechanism. However, it is noted that using display function and input mechanism associated with display function to enabling a user to select a the associated function is well known in the art. Therefor it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Peter as modified with Borhman to procide display function and associted input mechanism in order to provide more convenience to the user in operation the digital recorder.

Art Unit: 2712

Regarding claims 14,28 and 39, Petes fails to the housing comprise a shell and a shock absorbing cushions between the shell and disk type drive. However, it is noted using a shock absorbing cushions to prevent vibration and firmly hold a part is well known in the art. Therefore Official Notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the rt to modify Peter by incorporate a shell and a shock absorbing cushions between the shell and disk drive to prevent the vibration of the disk.

Regarding claims 16, 17,30, 31 and 42, Peters fails to specifically teach the use of a data address bus to provide the digital still image to computer interface. However, it is noted that using a data address bus to provide data to a computer network is well known in the art.

Therefor it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Peter by providing data address bus to provide still digital to a computer interface to transmitting the still digital to designated destination.

Regarding claims 8, 18 and 32, Petersr fails to teach the calibration of the color of the still digital picture However, it is noted that using means for calibration color of the picture is well known in the art, Therefore Official Notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Peter by using a means for calibrating the color of the digital still picture in order to improve the quality of the digital still picture still.

Regarding claim 19,33 and 43, Peters fails to specifically teach the meas for overlay information indication of time code or date on the digital still picture signal. However, it is noted that overlay date or time code or information on a picture is well known in the art. Therefore the

Art Unit: 2712

Official notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of rodinary skill in the art to modify Peters by using means for generating time code or date and overlaying the date or time code on the digital still picture in order to accurately identifying the still picture the a still n

Regarding claims 20,21,34,35 and 44, Peter fails to teach the use of encoder for the digital still picture. However, it is noted that using a encoder for encoding video signal comprising digital still picture is well known in the art, Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Peters by using an encoder for the digital still picture for encoding the digital still picture.

Regarding claims 22, 36 and 37, Peters further teaches that the digital still picture is comforming with NTSC format.

#### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kingler teaches a computer for eding video clips

Serial Number: 08/932,784

Page 7

Art Unit: 2712

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306

Or:

(703) 308-6296

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2712

H.N

February 13, 2000

HUY NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINED